Tafas v. Dudas et al Doc. 143 Att. 62

Case 1:07-cv-00846-JCC-TRJ Document 143-63 Filed 12/21/2007 Page 1 of 3

EXHIBIT 22

Final USPTO Rule on Claims and Continuations

Overview of Major Issues and Concerns SDIPLA

October 11, 2007

Robert J. Spar

Attorney at Law, Former Dir. (Ret'd) of Office of Patent Legal Administration, Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy, USPTO

3201 Birchtree Lane, Silver Spring, MD 20906

301-460-1889 (fax) 301-742-4567 (cell) 301-460-1231 bobspar1@comcast.net

Some Current Claiming Concepts Affected Claims and Continuations Final Rule

Importance of broad vs. narrow claims, and the plan to get them. patent application, and the later applications, should be Types of patent coverage to be sought in the initial reassessed ivo limits on claims and continuations.

What features are important, and need protection?

What features may be important?

New Ground rule: max of 3 patents, with 15/75 claims per invention! What will be your strategy to get maximum protection? Is claim protection for different aspects and classes of invention important, or desirable? Process(es) and apparatus; Combination and subcombination. Think involuntary divisionals.

Oct. 11, 2007

Robert J. Spar